A large annular scaly plaque
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CASE

A Caucasian man aged 60 years presented with a six-month history of an annular, red, scaly plaque on his posterior thigh (Figure 1a). The plaque had started as a small papule, which then slowly expanded to >10 cm in diameter. He was systemically well but had a past history of alcohol dependence and liver cirrhosis.1

Question 1
What conditions commonly present as annular scaly plaques?

Question 2
What additional history would be useful for refining the differential diagnosis?

Question 3
What initial investigations would be appropriate?

Answer 1
Possible differential diagnoses include:
- tinea corporis
- psoriasis
- nummular eczema
- erythema annulare centrifugum
- subacute cutaneous lupus erythematosus
- mycosis fungoides
- secondary syphilis.

Answer 2
Useful information to elucidate on history includes:
- any previous rashes
- comorbidities such as diabetes or immunosuppression that could predispose to infections
- personal or family history of autoimmune disease and neoplasia
- sexual history and any prior history of sexually transmissible infections (STIs).

Answer 3
Punch biopsy of the lesion would be appropriate. A basic blood panel and serology for STIs could be considered if the patient appeared high risk.

CASE CONTINUED

On further questioning, the patient reported that his last sexual contact was with a local female in Thailand 12 months prior. He recalled a painless penile ulcer developing about three months later, which self-resolved. A punch biopsy was performed, revealing spongiosis with a superficial and deep perivascular lymphohistiocytic infiltrate and numerous plasma cells (Figure 2).1 Syphilis serology was subsequently ordered. Enzyme immunoassay was positive for Treponema pallidum and rapid plasma reagin (RPR) test showed a high titre of >512. T. pallidum particle agglutination assay was also positive, consistent with active syphilis. Screening for human immunodeficiency virus, Chlamydia trachomatis and Neisseria gonorrhoea proved negative.

Question 4
What feature on skin biopsy is suggestive of this condition? Why is serological testing required for definitive diagnosis?

Question 5
What is the appropriate treatment and follow-up for this condition?

Answer 4
The presence of numerous plasma cells on skin biopsy should alert the clinician to the possibility of syphilis. Clinicians should proceed to order syphilis serology, which is the mainstay of laboratory diagnosis, given the inability to culture the causative organism.2 Spirochaetes may also be demonstrable on immunohistochemical or Warthin-Starry silver stains.2

Answer 5
Syphilis remains sensitive to penicillin, so a single dose of intramuscular benzathine penicillin remains the standard first-line treatment.2 Follow-up
serological testing with repeat RPR at three, six and 12 months after treatment is recommended to monitor response to treatment, as there is an absence of a microbiologic test for cure. A fourfold or greater reduction in RPR indicates adequate response to treatment. Syphilis is a notifiable disease in Australia and contact tracing is advised.

**Discussion**

Syphilis is an STI caused by the spirochaete *T. pallidum*. The global prevalence and incidence of syphilis remain high. In Australia, the incidence of syphilis has been increasing, particularly among high-risk groups such as men who have sex with men. This case highlights the difficulty in diagnosing syphilis given its protean clinical manifestations. Primary syphilis is characterised by a chancre – a painless and often indurated ulcer at the site of inoculation (most commonly genital, anal or orolabial). By contrast, secondary syphilis generally presents as a polymorphic rash with lymphadenopathy and other systemic manifestations. An asymptomatic latent period then follows if the disease is not treated, leading to a tertiary stage that may involve severe cardiovascular and neurologic sequelae and gummatous changes to any organ system. Previous studies have reported that up to 29.6% of cases of secondary syphilis have cutaneous manifestations with atypical morphology. Atypical cutaneous manifestations of secondary syphilis include nodular, annular, pustular, framboesiform, lues maligna and photodistributed papulosquamous eruptions. Differentials for annular secondary syphilis, depending on anatomic site and clinical scenario, include dermatophytosis, erythema annulare centrifugum, lichen planus, subacute cutaneous lupus erythematosus, sarcoidosis, atypical mycobacterial infection and granuloma annulare.

**Key points**

- Syphilis is a ‘great mimicker’ and should not be overlooked as a differential diagnosis of erythematous scaly rashes, especially as its global prevalence and incidence remain high. The incidence in high-risk populations in Australia has recently been increasing.
- The presence of numerous plasma cells on skin biopsies should signal the clinician to the possibility of syphilis and the need to order syphilis serology.
- Early diagnosis of syphilis is vital as it is easily treated in its early stages with a single dose of benzathine penicillin. Timely and effective treatment of early syphilis is important to prevent onward transmission and progression to tertiary syphilis.
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**Figure 2.** Punch biopsy specimen from posterior thigh prior to treatment

A. Vascular proliferation and perivascular inflammatory infiltrate (H&E, x100); B. The majority of inflammatory cells were plasma cells (H&E, x400)