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Background
International guidelines recommend exercise for all people with cancer. Effective supportive care interventions are required for people diagnosed with lung cancer to reduce morbidity associated with the disease process, frequently occurring comorbidities and treatment-related side effects.

Objective
This article summarises the evidence regarding the safety, effectiveness and patient experiences of exercise and physical activity interventions for people with lung cancer.

Discussion
Exercise interventions for people with lung cancer are safe and effective at improving physical fitness, muscle strength and patient-reported outcomes including cancer-related fatigue, dyspnoea and health-related quality of life. Increasing evidence supports the use of exercise prior to treatment (prehabilitation) to improve outcomes following surgery. This equates to 30 minutes of moderate-intensity aerobic exercise, five days per week. Those unable to meet these physical activity recommendations should aim to be as physically active as possible. Importantly, the guidelines by Hayes et al take into consideration that it may not be possible for all individuals at different stages of their cancer journey to meet these guidelines. This is particularly relevant for individuals with lung cancer.

The terms ‘physical activity’ and ‘exercise’ are often used interchangeably, and it is relevant to define them here for clarity of use through this article. Physical activity is defined as ‘any bodily movement produced by skeletal muscles that results in energy expenditure’. Exercise is a subcategory of physical activity and is defined as physical activity that is ‘planned, structured, and repetitive, and has as a final or an intermediate objective the improvement or maintenance of physical fitness’.

An increasing volume of research provides high levels of evidence to support the benefits of exercise for people with cancer to improve health-related quality of life (HRQoL), cancer-related fatigue and physical and social functioning. Studies report associations between higher levels of physical activity, improved survival and lower rates of cancer recurrence. The majority of this work has been conducted in patients with breast or prostate cancer and involves supervised, combined aerobic and resistance exercise. An individual patient data meta-analysis reports that supervised exercise programs result in the greatest health benefits when compared with unsupervised programs.

The aim of the current review is to summarise what is currently known regarding the effects of the disease process, symptoms and treatments used to manage non–small cell lung cancer (referred to throughout as ‘lung cancer’) on physical activity and physical fitness. Physical activity and exercise intervention effects for people with lung cancer are presented at each stage of the treatment continuum. Patient exercise preferences and the use of behaviour change techniques in patient management are discussed. Recommendations regarding ways general practitioners (GPs) can support people with cancer to increase their physical activity are provided.
Why is exercise capacity reduced in lung cancer?
The majority of patients with lung cancer are diagnosed with inoperable disease. In Australia in 2011, 42% of patients were diagnosed with metastatic (stage IV) disease; for an additional 29% of patients with lung cancer, the stage was unknown. Physical fitness, or exercise capacity, is measured by the ability of the body to transport environmental oxygen to skeletal muscle mitochondria and is dependent on the combined functioning of the respiratory and cardiovascular systems, vessels, blood and skeletal muscle. There is a normal decline in exercise capacity associated with ageing, and the majority of people with lung cancers are diagnosed after the age of 65 years. In addition, people with lung cancer have reduced exercise capacity at diagnosis, compared with age-matched healthy populations. For people with lung cancer, a number of inter-related factors may contribute to impaired exercise capacity (Figure 1). The effects on the respiratory system of the tumour, certain chemotherapy agents, radiotherapy and surgery include reduced diffusing capacity, damage to lung parenchyma and vascular structures, and alveolar hypoventilation. Promisingly, much progress is being made in the area of immuno-oncology – the use of the body’s own immune anti-tumour response – as a treatment for advanced lung cancer. For patients with stage III and IV lung cancer, the National Comprehensive Cancer Network recommends immunotherapies as consolidation therapy. When compared with placebo following concurrent chemoradiotherapy, immunotherapies show significant improvements in progression-free and overall survival. Immunotherapies can be associated with immune-related adverse events, including pneumonitis.

People with lung cancer frequently have concomitant smoking or asbestos exposure–related lung disease (eg chronic obstructive pulmonary disease [COPD], idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis) and cardiac disease. Impaired cardiac function is also a potential consequence of thoracic radiation, cardiotoxic chemotherapy agents and reduced haemoglobin levels. Skeletal muscle atrophy is common in individuals with lung cancer. Sarcopenia is highly prevalent (47%) in patients with stage III and IV disease treated with chemotherapy and is shown to be independently associated with an up to two-fold reduction in survival. Cachexia, defined as ‘a multi-factorial syndrome characterised by an ongoing loss of skeletal mass, with or without loss of fat mass, that cannot be fully reversed by conventional nutritional support and leads to progressive functional impairment’, occurs in up to one-third of patients with stage III and IV lung cancer. The combination of many of the above impairments leads to a multimorbid patient profile that may limit activities of daily living (ADLs) and participation in physical activity.

Symptoms of lung cancer and their impact on physical activity
People with lung cancer have different symptoms and symptom clusters as a result of the disease process, the treatments used to manage their cancer and associated comorbidities. These symptoms can significantly affect their capacity to perform ADLs as well as physical activity. One-third of people with lung cancer attribute major impairments in their ADLs to their diagnosis, and patient-reported symptoms have changed little over a 10-year period. The most commonly reported symptoms in those with newly diagnosed lung cancer include pain, fatigue, loss of appetite, coughing and sleep disturbance. Those with advanced lung cancer report high numbers of debilitating symptoms, most notably: pain, dyspnoea and anorexia.

Figure 1. Factors contributing to reduced exercise capacity, physical activity and activity participation
COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease
Higher levels of disease burden and distress associated with poorly managed symptoms are reported by more people with lung cancer than by any other tumour stream. Symptom severity is a prognostic indicator in lung cancer, with increased distress, fatigue and dyspnoea predictive of poorer prognosis.

Symptom management has important implications for improving other outcomes in this population, including physical function and HRQoL, where uncontrolled symptoms may contribute to people reducing their physical activity levels or avoiding certain activities for fear of exacerbating symptoms. Routine symptom self-reporting, with severe symptom ratings or significant increases triggering email alerts to hospital nurses, was found to result in reduced emergency department and hospital admissions, and improvements in chemotherapy tolerance, HRQoL and survival for patients with several types of metastatic cancer. Similar approaches to post-discharge symptom monitoring have been embedded into electronic health records in the UK for patients with cancer following surgery. Unfortunately, this approach to management has not been implemented into routine care in Australia. There is strong evidence that exercise reduces cancer-related fatigue. Similarly, pulmonary rehabilitation is effective in improving dyspnoea, and research continues into the effectiveness of non-pharmacological approaches to the management of dyspnoea including controlled breathing, cough easing and education. Exercise programs that include strengthening exercises can also address sarcopenia. Current research into managing cachexia shows a need for a multimodal approach that may include anti-inflammatory medication and nutrition advice (protein supplements) as well as exercise. We await outcomes of current international trials to better understand this aspect of management.

Physical activity and exercise interventions for patients with lung cancer
For people with lung cancer, exercise can be delivered safely prior to treatment (prehabilitation), perioperatively or postoperatively, during and following neoadjuvant or adjuvant treatment and in the palliative care setting (Figure 2). The following sections outline the evidence for exercise at different points on the cancer journey and provide information for GPs to support patients to engage in physical activity. This is still an emerging area of research and clinical practice, but the message from the evidence to date is consistently positive.

Lung cancer exercise prescription
Exercise testing and prescription for patients with lung cancer adheres to general principles, along with cancer-specific safety considerations that are provided in the recently updated American College of Sports Medicine (ACSM) guidelines and summarised in Table 1. To date, moderate-intensity aerobic exercise is predominantly prescribed without adverse events; one common example is walking, commenced at between 10 and 30 minutes per day. Resistance training can include exercise with the use of gravity, weights or functional exercises such as chair stands, step ups and squats. Care in prescription is needed in the presence of metastases and is best done by exercise professionals trained in exercise prescription for patients with cancer.

Exercise preferences of patients with lung cancer
Past exercise behaviour has a strong role in enabling future exercise (Table 2). The majority of patients with advanced lung cancer report motivation to exercise, with significant factors that increase exercise motivation including past exercise history, non-smoking status and absence of COPD. Reluctance to exercise has been reported when rehabilitation services were not seen as a priority or were viewed as being too burdensome or having little benefit, or when participants were waiting for other outcomes such as test results or treatment completion prior to considering rehabilitation. Generally, patients with newly diagnosed advanced cancer report preferences for home-based interventions consisting of walking. Positive experiences are reported by patients with lung cancer involved in home-based exercise after surgical resection and during treatment for inoperable disease. To support

Figure 2. Options for the timing of exercise delivery across the lung cancer continuum of care
patient preferences to exercise effectively at home, greater use of technologies is needed so that exercise can be supervised and progressed for individuals.

**Current evidence regarding physical activity and exercise interventions in lung cancer**

**Prehabilitation**

International general cancer prehabilitation guidelines have recently been published outlining principles and steps to integrate prehabilitation into the standard cancer care pathway. A Cochrane review of five randomised controlled trials (RCTs) concluded that prehabilitation prior to surgical resection resulted in a reduction in the number of postoperative pulmonary complications (PPCs, 67% reduction), duration of intercostal catheter need and hospital length of stay. Following this review, a further six RCTs were published, with overall consistent findings to the prior review. The largest new trial of prehabilitation involved high-intensity interval training and reported no serious adverse events, with significant short-term improvements in pre-operative exercise capacity and reductions in PPCs favouring the exercise group. Feasibility of exercise training prior to commencement of radiotherapy has also been reported.

**Perioperatively and postoperatively**

Systematic reviews of exercise interventions in people undergoing surgical resection +/- chemotherapy for lung cancer report safety, and data show short-term improvements in exercise capacity, quadriceps muscle strength, dyspnoea, HRQoL (physical component) and cancer-related fatigue, with few adverse events. Early postoperative supervised exercise is reported to be safe and feasible and resulted in significant improvement in exercise capacity and muscle strength in adherent participants. Exercise commenced earlier rather than later postoperatively is associated with greater reductions in cancer-related fatigue. A home-based physical activity intervention reported safety and feasibility during the postoperative period, with pre-operative physical activity levels maintained at eight weeks postoperatively.

**During and following non-surgical treatment**

Few adequately powered RCTs are published to date examining exercise for patients undergoing non-surgical treatments, although several trials are nearing completion internationally. For patients with advanced lung cancer, a Cochrane review of exercise training, which included six RCTs, reported significant improvements in exercise capacity and disease-specific HRQoL favouring the intervention group but no differences in dyspnoea, mood or respiratory function. Included studies were rated as low quality. A home-based program of exercise, behaviour change and symptom management support did not change physical function but resulted in significant improvements in HRQoL (overall scores and physical, functional and symptom subscales) and symptom severity six months post-commencement of medical treatment in 92 patients with inoperable lung cancer. Further details regarding RCTs in the non-surgical population are provided in Table 3 (available online only).

---

**Table 1. Cancer-specific considerations for healthcare professionals undertaking exercise testing and training for people with lung cancer**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Advice</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Comorbid disease</strong></td>
<td>Complete screening in line with general guidelines and choose exercises that avoid exacerbation of symptoms, for example hydrotherapy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bony metastases</strong></td>
<td>Avoid strength assessment of muscles that attach to or act on a bony lesion site. Sites without metastases can be tested. Advise patients to avoid weights involving the affected bones and try swimming.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cardiovascular disease</strong></td>
<td>Complete screening and perform cardiopulmonary exercise testing dependent on screening findings, as patients should obtain medical clearance prior to commencing exercise.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(premorbid or due to cancer treatment)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Peripheral neuropathy</strong></td>
<td>Assess balance, mobility and falls risk and modify exercise prescription as required (eg use a bicycle or water rather than a treadmill for aerobic exercise).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lymphoedema</strong></td>
<td>Use of compression garments during exercise should be based on patient preference following education from exercise professionals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Symptom clusters</strong></td>
<td>Refer to medical team when symptoms vary unexpectedly or result in safety concerns.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Infection and immunity</strong></td>
<td>Advise patients that exercising with a fever is contraindicated. Precautions exist for patients with impaired haematological parameters; for example, patients with low neutrophil counts (≤0.5 × 10.9 cells/µL) should avoid public gyms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cancer cachexia</strong></td>
<td>Commence with prescription for resistance training only to increase muscle mass.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: The American College of Sports Medicine recommends that physician clearance and referral to an exercise professional is required in the following instances: bony metastases, lung/abdominal surgery, ostomy, cardiopulmonary disease, ataxia, extreme nutritional issues or fatigue, changing physical status (eg unstable lymphoedema).*
**Palliative care**
Patients receiving palliative care express a desire to exercise; however, patients cite an inability to attend hospital programs and a lack of mobility or energy as reasons for declining to participate. Qualitative research in patients completing a hospice-based exercise program revealed improvements in strength, balance and physical function; positive effects of group interaction and support from exercise professionals; and a sense of regaining control. Setting individualised treatment goals for these patients is essential, and the palliative care phase of management should not be a barrier to providing physical activity and exercise advice.

**Physical activity interventions and behaviour change**
Health behaviour change interventions, such as those targeting diet and physical activity, recognise the biological, psychological and social factors that affect an individual’s ability to change and maintain health behaviours. The motivation provided by a lung cancer diagnosis and the importance of health professional support to change behaviours are factors highlighted in recent research. The most commonly used behaviour change techniques in interventions to improve physical activity levels are collaborative health-related goal setting, instruction regarding how to perform the behaviour and action planning. Use and reporting of behaviour change techniques is suboptimal in thoracic cancer exercise studies, making it difficult to assess the efficacy of these techniques in improving physical activity.

**The role of general practitioners**
It is recognised that doctors discussing physical activity with their patients regularly is key to increasing the importance patients place on exercise as part of their cancer management. The ‘Exercise is Medicine’ ACSM initiative aims to support clinicians to make exercise referrals and routinely evaluate physical activity levels. The initiative outlines the role of the clinician in three stages:
1. Assess – ask patients about their current physical activity as a routine part of assessment of vital signs
2. Advise – encourage patients to increase physical activity if they are not meeting recommendations and are considered safe to exercise without medical supervision (a downloadable exercise template can be found at www.exerciseismedicine.org/movingthroughcancer)
3. Refer – refer patients to an exercise professional if the patients are not safe exercising without supervision or you are unsure.

Physical activity and exercise interventions provided in centres or at home are safe prior to, during and following all treatments for lung cancer when prescribed and monitored by an exercise professional. Individuals with lung cancer should be encouraged to be as physically active as possible and avoid sedentary time. Prescription should be individualised according to patient preferences and abilities, as well as symptom interference and medical safety. Support to manage uncontrolled symptoms and use of behaviour change strategies are key to reducing barriers to physical activity participation for all people with a cancer diagnosis, including those with lung cancer. Addressing nutritional issues proactively as part of cachexia prevention/treatment is important (Box 1).

**Conclusion**
Research supports the positive effects of increased functional exercise capacity on cancer-related fatigue, physical function, symptom distress, sarcopenia and HRQoL. However, an immediate challenge is access to education and educational materials to assist GPs. Additionally, referral to exercise professionals is not always easy, and a database of those with an interest in oncology would be of assistance. Finally, there are few exercise programs specifically for patients with cancer in the acute sector or community, although these are now increasing with emerging supportive evidence for efficacy. Patients with lung cancer can be referred to pulmonary rehabilitation programs. Advocacy to increase access to rehabilitation exercise treatments for patients with lung cancer as well as to improve GP educational resources through The Royal Australian College of General Practitioners is encouraged to improve the primary care management of cancer generally and lung cancer in particular.

**Box 1. The general practitioner’s role in supporting patients with lung cancer to be physically active**
- Encourage increased physical activity and reduced sedentary time across the whole cancer journey
- Support setting of patient-individualised activity goals
- Involve family and caregivers in activity and nutrition advice and goal setting
- Assess symptoms and assist with advice to reduce their impact
- Understand safety criteria for exercise
- Refer to an exercise professional and/or dietitian for exercise and/or nutrition advice
- Provide access/references to education materials/online websites
- Empower patients to take control of their own care

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enablers</th>
<th>Barriers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Expected benefits to physical and mental health</td>
<td>Perceived lack of benefit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved symptoms</td>
<td>Fear of exercise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintained independence</td>
<td>Poor adaptation to diagnosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social support</td>
<td>Poor prognosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Symptoms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Presence of comorbidities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Past sedentary lifestyle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Poor access to exercise programs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Resources

• American College of Sports Medicine – Exercise is medicine, www.exercisesmedicine.org/movingthru cancercare

Exercise program examples and finding healthcare professionals in your area

• Victoria: www.semrc.blogs.latrobe.edu.au/oncology-rehabilitation-programs-victoria
• Western Australia: www.cancerwa.asn.au/patients/support-and-services/life-now
• Australian Physiotherapy Association: choose.physio/findaphysio
• Exercise and Sports Science Australia: www.essa.org.au/find-aep
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