Background
General practitioners play a vital and increasing part in the perioperative care of patients undergoing total knee replacement (TKR). Rising obesity rates, sports-related injuries and an ageing population are likely to result in a sharp increase in TKR procedures within the next decade, combined with higher cost concerns. Rehabilitation practices that show economic efficiency and produce superior patient outcomes are a major focus of current research.

Objective
The aim of this article is to provide an evidence-based summary of current rapid recovery protocols following TKR surgery.

Discussion
Rapid recovery protocols have been shown to be effective at reducing length of stay, postoperative pain and complications without compromising patient safety. These rapid recovery protocols include same-day mobilisation; blood preservation protocols; self-directed pedalling-based rehabilitation; and individualised targeted discharge to self-directed, outpatient therapist-directed or inpatient therapist-directed rehabilitation. Low-cost self-directed rehabilitation should be considered usual care, with inpatient rehabilitation reserved for the minority of at-risk patients.

THE BURDEN OF KNEE OSTEOARTHRITIS in Australia is projected to rise in response to an increase in the ageing population, obesity rates and sports-related injuries. Osteoarthritis now affects one in eleven Australian individuals and has been reported as the second most common diagnosis made by general practitioners (GPs) consulting with older patients. In accordance with the growth in prevalence of knee osteoarthritis, total knee replacement (TKR) surgery is also forecast to substantially increase in Australia, by up to 276% by 2030.

TKR has been proven to be effective for improving a patient’s functional status and overall health quality, and it is widely regarded as a highly cost-effective intervention for end-stage knee osteoarthritis. GP input is vital in the continuum of care for the periods both before and after TKR surgery, particularly for communicating with patients about their expectations for TKR outcomes and rehabilitation. Knee rehabilitation practices have vastly evolved in the past decade, with many being associated with a paradigm shift from a traditional ‘sick patient’ model of care to a ‘well patient’ model. Advances in multimodal pain management, blood management and early mobilisation protocols all contribute to a rapid recovery pathway that has been proven to lower hospital length of stay without adversely affecting postoperative complications or readmissions. The aim of this narrative review is to provide a summary of the current advancements in rapid recovery following TKR surgery, including early mobilisation, exercise therapy, length of stay and discharge destination.

Perioperative advancements
Rapid recovery pathways encompass each stage of the patient journey and are primarily aimed towards reducing length of hospital stay while maintaining patient outcomes. Recent non-surgical advances in the perioperative period have enabled early mobilisation and have had a direct impact on reducing length of stay. Examples of these advancements include blood preservation protocols, multimodal analgesia delivery, avoidance of surgical drains and a decreased use of indwelling urinary catheters (IDCs). Literature suggests that these low-technology, low-cost or no-cost changes in practice account for the greatest share of improvements in patient experience over the past decade.

Minimising perioperative blood loss and reducing postoperative blood transfusion is an effective blood management strategy that is essential to rapid recovery joint arthroplasty and reduces episode-of-care costs. Tranexamic acid (TXA) is an antifibrinolytic inhibitor that minimises bleeding by blocking blood clot degradation. Randomised trials have shown that TXA administered either intravenously or topically results
in reduced rates of transfusion and thrombotic events in a TKR population, as well as reduced drain output (when used) and increased postoperative haemoglobin.\textsuperscript{9,10} This reduced bleeding has negated the use of surgical drains, with many studies showing no benefit or increased risk with drains.\textsuperscript{11} Moreover, reduced bleeding results in avoidance of blood transfusions, which are costly and increase both infection risk and the length of stay.

In accordance with the decreased need for wound drains is the reduction of IDC use in TKR surgery as a result of low-dose spinal anaesthesia and early mobilisation protocols. Discontinuing routine IDC insertion for TKR is an important component of rapid recovery pathways, which aim to facilitate early mobilisation and achievement of discharge goals. The use of an IDC can potentially increase postoperative urinary tract infections (UTIs), and the duration of its use is the most important risk factor for developing a UTI.\textsuperscript{12} This is highly relevant to patients with many studies showing no benefit or increased risk with drains.\textsuperscript{11} Moreover, reduced bleeding results in avoidance of blood transfusions, which are costly and increase both infection risk and the length of stay.

Evidence now suggests that with an increased risk of prosthetic joint infection.\textsuperscript{13} This is highly relevant to patients with many studies showing no benefit or increased risk with drains.\textsuperscript{11} Moreover, reduced bleeding results in avoidance of blood transfusions, which are costly and increase both infection risk and the length of stay.

Postoperative pain following TKR surgery is feared by a number of patients and, if severe, may inhibit early mobilisation and knee range-of-motion exercises.\textsuperscript{14} Multimodal analgesia is an integral part of a rapid recovery pathway, with regional nerve blocks and peri-articular injections (PAIs) used in favour of epidural anaesthesia or patient-controlled analgesia (PCA) devices.\textsuperscript{14} Low-dose spinal anaesthesia and sedation when combined with a regional nerve block and PAIs is highly effective for promoting rapid recovery. It facilitates early mobilisation without the undesirable side effects of nausea, vomiting and drowsiness often associated with opioid drugs administered by means of intravenous PCA.\textsuperscript{8,14,15}

Other expensive high-technological advances such as guided-arm surgery (robotic) and patient-specific instruments, despite heavy promotion and industry claims, have not yet resulted in improved patient outcomes.\textsuperscript{16}

**Early mobilisation and exercise therapy**

Current definitions of early mobilisation refer to ambulation 4–8 hours following TKR surgery.\textsuperscript{17,18} ‘Day of surgery’ mobilisation is becoming a more common practice in Australia because of the wide range of health benefits it provides to patients,\textsuperscript{19} with the realisation that it is extremely advantageous for patients to gain consciousness post-surgery with no pain and the ability to mobilise within a few hours of their surgery. A literature review of early mobilisation protocols in a post-surgical population revealed reduced rates of postoperative complications such as deep vein thrombosis, pneumonia, atelectasis, UTIs, sepsis, myocardial infarction and stroke.\textsuperscript{20} Early mobilisation has shown a reduction in hospital length of stay,\textsuperscript{21,22} and there is evidence to suggest it can provide improved patient outcomes without an increased risk of complications.\textsuperscript{23,24} Patients have also reported an overall increase in satisfaction with the introduction of early mobilisation and less pain than patients receiving standard care.\textsuperscript{25} Previous attitudes about hospitalisation have reinforced passive coping strategies and inactivity in patients; however, early mobilisation assists to counteract this and improve health-related quality of life.\textsuperscript{23,26}

Despite patients with TKRs receiving an in-hospital physiotherapy program of some description, the optimum type of TKR exercise intervention in the early postoperative phase remains unknown.\textsuperscript{27–29} A recent systematic literature review and meta-analysis exposed an urgent need for further high-quality studies into supervised exercise therapy programs that aim to provide greater functional outcomes and patient-reported satisfaction following TKR surgery, particularly in the early postoperative period.\textsuperscript{27} Differing protocols provide some instruction for exercises after TKR to be used in combination with healthcare professionals’ clinical judgment to make adjustments or progressions.\textsuperscript{29}

Unfortunately, this paucity of evidence hinders the creation of best-practice guidelines for specific exercises, including their duration or frequency following TKR surgery; currently, vast variations in care exist.\textsuperscript{28} The goals of physiotherapy have changed in line with rapid recovery pathways that have been shown to rapidly decrease length of stay after TKR surgery. The most effective physiotherapy exercise protocol during the acute hospital stay is based on restoring safe functional mobility and performing exercises with a focus on increasing knee range-of-motion such as bike pedalling (Figures 1–3).\textsuperscript{30} In 2019, a randomised controlled trial investigated early exercise therapy protocols after TKR surgery and was published in the *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery*. It showed that a simple self-directed, low-cost, three-exercise bike pedalling-based protocol was superior to a therapist-led standard multi-exercise regimen, for both functional and patient-reported outcomes.\textsuperscript{30} Based on the results of this trial, patients who have had a TKR can complete a few simple home-based exercises – focusing on bike pedalling, knee extension and heel-toe walking practice – for the first two weeks following their knee surgery and expect optimal outcomes. Following this early recovery

**Figure 1. Stationary floor pedal exerciser**
period, patients yet to gain 90-degree knee flexion or those who are having difficulty progressing with their mobility may benefit from some supervised physiotherapy treatment, with no significant difference shown between patients supervised in the home or outpatient setting.31

**Length of stay, outpatient arthroplasty and discharge destination**

Reducing length of stay has been shown to improve functional recovery and enable a more rapid return to independent living; however, early concerns have been raised that decreasing the duration of hospital stay may result in increased hospital readmissions.32 Numerous studies have now shown that rapid recovery programs can shorten length of stay without increasing complications or readmissions.33-35 Length of stay duration after TKR surgery is decreasing; in Australia, the average length of stay after TKR surgery declined from 7.1 days in 2009 to 5.4 days in 2016.36 This decrease in length of stay aligns with trends in the USA where, from 2002 to 2013, the mean inpatient period post-TKR surgery decreased from 4.06 days to 2.97 days, and the percentage of hospital inpatient periods of ≥5 days decreased from 24.7% to 6.1%.37 With concerns regarding healthcare expenditure remaining at the national forefront, a new model of care is arising: outpatient or day procedure arthroplasty. Outpatient arthroplasty is an appealing option for carefully selected patient populations, and it relies on structured rapid recovery pathways, including preoperative patient education, regional anaesthesia and early mobilisation.37 Although the uptake of outpatient arthroplasty in Australia has been slower than in the USA,38 discussions about healthcare reform and a growing body of evidence showing its safety in certain patient populations mean that it may become a viable way to reduce the growing financial burden for the predicted increase in TKR surgery over the next decade.39

Referral rates to extended inpatient rehabilitation in Australia are high. It is estimated that approximately 40% of patients who are privately insured and 20% of patients in the public sector were referred to inpatient rehabilitation following TKR surgery in 2014.40 This high referral pattern contrasts with evidence to suggest that functional outcomes for those attending inpatient rehabilitation are not superior in randomised controlled trials to those discharged to home or community-based rehabilitation.40,41 A recent landmark Australian study, the HIHO randomised clinical trial, compared outcomes for patients with TKRs discharged to inpatient rehabilitation with those who completed a supervised home-based program, finding no differences between groups for mobility, reported pain and function, or quality of life.40 Although a formal cost analysis was not performed in this trial, the results suggest that healthcare system costs can be reduced without harming patient outcomes.40 Literature states that a home discharge can be $16,000 less expensive than discharge to an acute inpatient rehabilitation facility; given this potential cost saving, there needs to be urgent review of the reasons behind the large number of rehabilitation referrals.41,42 The seemingly indiscriminate use of inpatient rehabilitation following uncomplicated primary TKR surgery is now being considered as ‘low-value care’ in Australia. However, system-level changes to guidelines and incentives for hospitals as well as development of more accurate patient screening tools will be needed if a meaningful transformation to current practice is to be made.36

**Conclusion**

The use of rapid recovery pathways after TKR surgery is increasing. They have been shown to be effective at reducing length of stay without compromising patient safety. Examples of rapid recovery protocols include perioperative advancements, early mobilisation and active exercise therapy such as bike pedalling and regaining functional mobility, which in turn has also contributed to a further reduction in length of stay. Financial considerations...
need to be of urgent priority, as the economic burden of TKR will continue to strain both the private and public sectors as rates of TKR surgery are forecast to increase. Recently, it has been shown that inpatient rehabilitation after routine TKR surgery offers no greater functional or quality of life benefits than discharge to home. Healthcare reform and hospital policy review is needed to better facilitate home-based care. Additionally, outpatient arthroplasty – which would currently be considered novel in Australia – is rapidly growing overseas and may provide a significant cost-saving option for eligible patients.

**Key points**

- Rehabilitation after TKR surgery is moving to an individualised stratified model, with low-cost self-directed home-based rehabilitation as the standard and adjuvant therapist-directed inpatient or outpatient rehabilitation as needed.
- Low-cost perioperative interventions such as blood preservation with TXA and early mobilisation analgesia have enabled rapid recovery pathways, while more expensive technology driven interventions have not.
- With the introduction of same-day joint surgery in Australia for low-risk individuals, length of stay after TKR surgery will continue to reduce.
- Perioperative advancements in TKR surgery and rehabilitation have resulted in shorter hospital stays and faster recovery with less pain, which is relevant to discussions between GPs and their patients with TKRs.
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