# A chronic obstructive pulmonary disease risk assessment tool in preventive lung healthcare



# An unmet need?

### CPD 🕰

Jennifer L Perret, Nicholas Zwar, E Haydn Walters, Harry Patsamanis, Michael J Abramson, Shyamali C Dharmage, Kerry Hancock

IN AUSTRALIA, the all-encompassing role of general practice in managing acute and chronic conditions, while also delivering preventive healthcare to promote and maintain optimal health, is well recognised as challenging but critical to maintaining the health of all Australians.<sup>1</sup> The Royal Australian College of General Practitioners' (RACGP) *Red Book* emphasises 'that mid-life is particularly a time of determining patient risk factors and offering screening for health conditions',<sup>1</sup> whereas the RACGP's *Green Book* recognises that evidence-based strategies are needed to overcome the challenges of implementation.<sup>2</sup>

In our opinion, there is much scope to use risk prediction methodology for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), the leading cause of respiratory morbidity and mortality, given the use of risk prediction tools in the diagnostic and intervention pathways for cardiovascular disease and type 2 diabetes. Detecting COPD earlier has been highlighted in the recently released first Australian COPD blueprint, *Transforming the agenda for COPD: A path towards prevention and lifelong lung health*,<sup>3</sup> and by the 2022 Lancet Commission.<sup>4</sup> The latter proposed a new diagnostic algorithm and re-emphasised spirometry as the first-line test before chest imaging (Figure 11 in Stolz et al<sup>4</sup>). However, given that the use of spirometry was restricted during the COVID-19 pandemic, there is now an urgent need to re-establish timely access to spirometry by general practitioners (GPs) so that diagnoses such as COPD are confirmed promptly. Given the barriers of recommencing office/clinic spirometry in this living-with-COVID-19 era, this could be aided by triaging patients for pre- and post-bronchodilator spirometry through risk stratification.

GPs will have many patients aged ≥60 years who first presented acutely to hospital with a severe exacerbation before a diagnosis of COPD was



**Figure 1.** PRECURSOR's aim to detect COPD earlier. The superimposed schematic representation of two disadvantaged lung function trajectories has been informed by the authors' original work.<sup>6</sup> Adapted from Fletcher and Peto, with permission from the BMJ Publishing Group.<sup>7</sup>

COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; PRECURSOR, Predicting Your Patient's Risk of Airway Obstruction.

made.<sup>5</sup> However, it is not commonly known that susceptible individuals on track to develop COPD from gradually declining lung function6 can be detected years earlier by spirometry (Figure 1). Furthermore, compared with diagnosed COPD, people with undiagnosed COPD are at a much-increased risk of acute exacerbations, pneumonia and premature death, even if they do not have symptoms.8 Many symptomatic patients with spirometric evidence of COPD remain undiagnosed in primary care settings.9 Thus, we believe the lack of a suitable COPD risk assessment tool and a clear pathway to objective testing contribute to diagnostic delays and deferment of best practice respiratory care. This includes smoking cessation strategies, vaccination, COPD action plans, maintaining healthy weight

and promotion of physical activity, as well as indicated pharmacotherapies.

We propose a standardised risk assessment for COPD for 'younger' high-risk individuals that could be used in the 45- to 49-year-old health check or as a standalone tool for adults aged in their 40s. Current guidelines and position papers (eg RACGP,1 COPD-X,10 Lung Foundation Australia [LFA]<sup>11</sup>) recommend pre- and post-bronchodilator spirometry to be considered for adults with respiratory symptoms and/or pollutant exposures, such as smoking. However, undertaking such 'opportunistic' case finding is challenging in busy general practice. It would be more feasible to select smokers and people with asthma at high risk by using a risk calculator, and to target younger patients to trial potentially disease-modifying strategies earlier in the

disease course.<sup>12</sup> This viewpoint is shared by world experts (Figure 2 in Stolz et al<sup>4</sup>) and advocated by our peak lung health consumer body, LFA.<sup>3</sup>

We have developed a validated risk prediction model using questionnaire data from adults aged in their 40s to 'predict COPD' in their 50s called PRECURSOR (Predicting Your Patient's Risk of Airway Obstruction),13 with no need for an examination or blood tests. For example, a 43-year-old unskilled worker who smoked 20 cigarettes/day since early adolescence and recently wheezed has a predicted probability of 29% for clinical COPD in their 50s if they continue smoking. However, the 'risk' odds would be close to fivefold lower for similar smokers who had guit one year earlier (or a 7.4% probability of having COPD in their 50s). These risk predictions could

Features	CDQ <sup>15</sup>	COPD-PS <sup>16</sup>	CAPTURE tool <sup>17</sup>	PRECURSOR tool <sup>A,13</sup>
Derivation population	Smokers of general populations	General practice and specialist sites	General population aged ≥40 years	General population of adults aged in their 40s
Internal validation (AUC <sub>ROC</sub> [95% CI])	81.6% (n/a)	81.0% (n/a)	79.5% (n/a)	80.8% (80.0, 81.6)
External validation for questionnaire only (AUC <sub>ROC</sub> [95%CI])	72% (64, 78) <sup>14</sup>	77% (63, 85)14	n/a	75.6% (75.4, 75.8) <sup>13</sup>
External validation with peak flow measurement (AUC <sub>ROC</sub> [95%CI])	n/a	n/a	0.81% (0.77, 0.85)	n/a
Designed to predict 'early' COPD in 'young' people aged 40–49 years, who may be asymptomatic	No	No	No	Yes
Based on longitudinal data (like other risk-calculators <sup>8</sup> )	No	No	No	Yes
Projected 10-year risks for continued smoking vs quitting	No	No	No	Yes
Calculates pack-year smoking history	No	No	No	Yes
Digital tool can be completed by phone interview, with a printable PDF report	No	No	No	Yes
Potential for shared decision making to undergo spirometry	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

# Table 1. Comparisons between the PRECURSOR tool<sup>A</sup> and other chronic obstructive pulmonary disease questionnaires

<sup>A</sup>Undergoing productionisation.

<sup>B</sup>Risk prediction calculators for cardiovascular disease and diabetes.

AUC<sub>ROC</sub>, area under the receiver operating characteristic curve; CAPTURE, COPD Assessment in Primary Care to Identify Undiagnosed Respiratory Disease and Exacerbation Risk; CI, confidence interval; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; COPD-PS, COPD Population Screener; CDQ, COPD Diagnostic Questionnaire; LMIC, low- and middle-income countries; n/a, not available; PRECURSOR, Predicting Your Patient's Risk of Airway Obstruction. inform patient selection for spirometry referral to confirm a diagnosis of COPD. Furthermore, providing different smoking scenarios of quitters compared with continuing smokers may be a critical motivating factor for smokers to quit. Obtaining an individualised risk prediction for any combination of history of smoking, asthma, job and symptom elicited by the risk tool is possible, and examples of other high-risk scenarios have been presented in the paper's online supplement.<sup>13</sup>

The performance of this COPD risk model<sup>13</sup> is comparable to that of other questionnaires without peak expiratory flow or microspirometer measurements (eg the COPD Diagnostic Questionnaire,14,15 the COPD Population Screener<sup>14,16</sup> and the COPD Assessment in Primary Care to Identify Undiagnosed Respiratory Disease and Exacerbation Risk tool;<sup>17</sup> see Table 1). However, an advantage of PRECURSOR is that it has been developed and validated using data from two of the largest general population respiratory cohorts worldwide and designed for younger people, aged in their 40s, who may be relatively asymptomatic.

The potential for the implementation of PRECURSOR into Australian clinical practice is currently being evaluated. Furthermore, this COPD-risk model is currently undergoing translation as a web-based application accessible to patients aged in their 40s who, for example, could be invited via SMS, email or letter to complete the risk tool online, with a report generated and returned to the practice for review. This systematic approach to actively finding 'young' middle-aged patients with COPD holds promise to create preventive opportunities and best practice respiratory care in a timely manner. Please watch this space.

#### Authors

Jennifer L Perret MBBS, FRACP, PhD, NHMRC, Research Fellow, Allergy and Lung Health Unit, Centre for Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Melbourne School of Population and Global Health, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Vic; Affiliate, Institute for Breathing and Sleep (IBAS), Melbourne, Vic; Honorary Respiratory Physician, Austin Health, Melbourne, Vic

Nicholas Zwar MBBS, MPH, PhD, FRACGP, FACTM, Executive Dean - Health Sciences & Medicine, Bond University, Robina, Qld E Haydn Walters MA, DM, DSc, FRCP, FRACP, FCCP, FThorSoc, Honorary Professorial Fellow in Epidemiology, Allergy and Lung Health Unit, Centre for Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Melbourne School of Population and Global Health, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Vic; Emeritus Professor of Medicine, School of Medicine, University of Tasmania, Hobart, Tas

Harry Patsamanis BAppSc, GradCertDiabEd, General Manager Clinical Programs Research and Innovation, Lung Foundation Australia, Milton, Qld

Michael J Abramson MB, BS, BMedSc, PhD, FRACP, FAFPHM, FThorSoc, FERS, Professor of Clinical Epidemiology, School of Public Health and Preventive Medicine, Monash University, Melbourne, Vic; Honorary Respiratory Physician, The Alfred, Melbourne, Vic

Shyamali C Dharmage MBBS, MSc, MD, PhD, FCCPSL, FThorSoc, FERS, NHMRC, Leadership Fellow, Allergy and Lung Health Unit, Centre for Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Melbourne School of Population and Global Health, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Vic

Kerry Hancock BMBS, FRACGP (Hon), Chair, Primary Care Clinical Council, Lung Foundation Australia, Milton, Qld; Chair, Respiratory Medicine Network, Specific Interests Faculty, The Royal Australian College of General Practitioners, East Melbourne, Vic; Honorary Fellow, Allergy and Lung Health Unit, Centre for Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Melbourne School of Population and Global Health, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Vic; General Practitioner, Chandlers Hill Surgery, Adelaide, SA

Competing interests: JLP, SCD, EHW and MJA hold an investigator-initiated grant from GlaxoSmithKline for unrelated research; SCD and JLP hold an investigator-initiated partnership grant with AstraZeneca for unrelated research. MJA additionally holds investigator-initiated grants from Pfizer, Boehringer Ingelheim and Sanofi for unrelated research; has undertaken an unrelated consultancy for Sanofi; and received a speaker's fee from GlaxoSmithKline. KH has received personal fees and non-financial support from AstraZeneca, GlaxoSmithKline, Novartis, Chiesi, Boehringer Ingelheim and Teva outside the submitted work. KH has also received fees for performing spirometry and for training health professionals in spirometry testing. NZ, HP and KH are affiliated with Lung Foundation Australia, which received sponsorship funding from AstraZeneca for the development of the Australian COPD blueprint.

Funding: The authors received no specific funding for this work. JLP was supported by a National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) Early Career Fellowship (APP1159090). SCD was supported by an NHMRC Leadership Fellowship (APP1193993).

Provenance and peer review: Not commissioned, externally peer reviewed.

#### Correspondence to:

jennifer.perret@unimelb.edu.au

#### References

- The Royal Australian College of General Practitioners (RACGP). Guidelines for preventive activities in general practice. 9th edn, updated. East Melbourne, Vic: RACGP, 2018.
- The Royal Australian College of General Practitioners (RACGP). Putting prevention into practice: Guidelines for the implementation of prevention in the general practice setting. 3rd edn. East Melbourne, Vic: RACGP, 2018.

- Lung Foundation Australia (LFA). Transforming the agenda for COPD: A path towards prevention and lifelong lung health – Lung Foundation Australia's Blueprint for Action on Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) 2022-2025. Milton, Qld: LFA, 2022. Available at www. flipsnack.com/88F9F666AED/copd-blueprint\_ digital\_dec2022/full-view.html [Accessed 6 December 2022].
- Stolz D, Mkorombindo T, Schumann DM, et al. Towards the elimination of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: A Lancet Commission. Lancet 2022;400(10356):921–72. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(22)01273-9.
- Balcells E, Antó JM, Gea J, et al. Characteristics of patients admitted for the first time for COPD exacerbation. Respir Med 2009;103(9):1293–302. doi: 10.1016/j.rmed.2009.04.001.
- Bui DS, Lodge CJ, Burgess JA, et al. Childhood predictors of lung function trajectories and future COPD risk: A prospective cohort study from the first to the sixth decade of life. Lancet Respir Med 2018;6(7):535–44. doi: 10.1016/S2213-2600(18)30100-0.
- 7. Fletcher C, Peto R. The natural history of chronic airflow obstruction. Br Med J 1977;1(6077):1645–48.
- Çolak Y, Afzal S, Nordestgaard BG, Vestbo J, Lange P. Prognosis of asymptomatic and symptomatic, undiagnosed COPD in the general population in Denmark: A prospective cohort study. Lancet Respir Med 2017;5(5):426-34. doi: 10.1016/S2213-2600(17)30119-4.
- Perret J, Yip SWS, Idrose NS, et al. Undiagnosed and 'over-diagnosed' COPD using postbronchodilator spirometry in primary healthcare settings: A systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ Open Respir Res 2023;10:e001478. doi: 10.1136/ bmjresp-2022-001478.
- Yang IA, Dabscheck EJ, George J, Jenkins SC, McDonald CF, McDonald VM, et al. COPD-X concise guide. Milton, Qld: Lung Foundation Australia, 2019. Available at https:// lungfoundation.com.au/resources/copd-xconcise-guide/ [Accessed 19 October 2022].
- Lung Foundation Australia (LFA). Position paper: COPD case finding in community settings. Milton, Qld: LFA, 2011. Available at https://lungfoundation.com.au/wp-content/ uploads/2018/11/Information-Paper-COPD-Case-Finding-position-paper-Oct2019.pdf [Accessed 20 July 2021].
- Martinez FJ, Agusti A, Celli BR, et al. Treatment trials in young patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and pre-chronic obstructive pulmonary disease patients: Time to move forward. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2022;205(3):275–87. doi: 10.1164/rccm.202107-1663SO.
- Perret JL, Vicendese D, Simons K, et al. Tenyear prediction model for post-bronchodilator airflow obstruction and early detection of COPD: Development and validation in two middle-aged population-based cohorts. BMJ Open Respir Res 2021;8(1):e001138. doi: 10.1136/bmjresp-2021-001138.
- Schnieders E, Ünal E, Winkler V, et al. Performance of alternative COPD case-finding tools: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur Respir Rev 2021;30(160):200350. doi: 10.1183/16000617.0350-2020.
- Price DB, Tinkelman DG, Halbert RJ, et al. Symptom-based questionnaire for identifying COPD in smokers. Respiration 2006;73(3):285–95. doi: 10.1159/000090142.

- Martinez FJ, Raczek AE, Seifer FD, et al; COPD-PS Clinician Working Group. Development and initial validation of a selfscored COPD Population Screener Questionnaire (COPD-PS). COPD 2008;5(2):85–95. doi: 10.1080/15412550801940721.
- Martinez FJ, Han MK, Lopez C, et al; CAPTURE Study Group. Discriminative accuracy of the CAPTURE Tool for identifying chronic cbstructive pulmonary disease in US primary care settings. JAMA 2023;329(6):490–501. doi: 10.1001/ jama.2023.0128.

## correspondence ajgp@racgp.org.au